

Investigating the Connection Between Silicon Valley, Big Pharma and Health Care

A Special Interview With Whitney Webb

By Dr. Joseph Mercola

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Welcome everyone. We are joined again today by Whitney Webb, who has been on a rampage of interviews for her two new books. It's actually one, but it was split into two. "One Nation Under Blackmail," we've interviewed her for that before and we'll discuss some of the experiences she's had in the last few weeks since the book has been published. And we're going to talk about some really interesting components of censorship and how this dovetails in which she's already written. Welcome and thank you for joining us today, Whitney.

Whitney Webb:

Thank you. It's always my pleasure to be here.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You were on a whirlwind tour, you went back to the U.S. for the first time in what? Five, six, seven years?

Whitney Webb:

No, more. I think it was eight years.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Okay, wow.

Whitney Webb:

Something in that ballpark.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

A long time.

Whitney Webb:

A long time.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You got to get back in the United States and you spoke at Children's Health Defense, gave a magnificent 15-minute speech there that was widely circulated, and great job on that by the way.

Whitney Webb:

Thank you.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

We were talking before we went on about, at least it seems to me, about two dozen interviews or more, the most popular, which seems to have been Glenn Beck, but you're also on Patrick Bet-David. Why don't you tell us how that experience went and how the book is doing?

Whitney Webb:

Well, as far as numbers go with the book, I'd have to ask the publisher about exactly how that's going because I haven't really paid much attention to that because, of course, I had to put so many things on the backburner as I was finishing the book and doing early promotion for it and all of that. I haven't really checked with him to see, I probably should have. But anyway, as someone who's been out for a very long time, obviously the U.S. has changed a lot in the past decade or so since I was back there. And it was-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

What have you noticed the most? Because eight years is a long time to be away.

Whitney Webb:

Maybe it seems odd to people, I guess I'd have to think more about all the different observations and all the things that were different, I suppose. But one thing that did stand out to me, I noticed, I have a 4-year-old, so I wanted to take her trick or treating in the neighborhood where I used to trick or treat when I was a kid. And we went and almost everyone in this neighborhood had those Google, I guess it's Nest or something, the camera doorbells. We weren't sure how to ring the doorbells and we were like, "Are they watching us from the outside?" Which is actually troubling because a lot of those tech companies, Google including them, are contractors for the military and for intelligence and most likely, I think it would be naive to assume they don't have backdoor access to those devices knowing when you're home and when you're not and all of that.

I think it's interesting the willingness of so many people, so many households to invite that type of technology into their homes, I guess. I didn't see inside people's homes really so much, but a lot of people, as I understand it in the U.S., have things like Alexa, Amazon's Alexa, there's been numerous stories that have come out that they're recording you with without your consent, even though they say they're not and all sorts of things like that. But people still continue to use the product and I really wish people would wise up about inviting that type of technology into your house because it's being marketed as convenience. So much of what we're being sold today is being marketed as convenience, but really a lot of it, as you and I and other people have talked about, is really just the building blocks for the infrastructure of a very dangerous and Orwellian system of control.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

And the surveillance state as they're capturing the data-

Whitney Webb:

Yes.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

-and Google has an equivalent of Nest, I'm pretty sure. Not Nest, of Alexa. I purchased the Nest thermostat because it was the first smart thermostat that came out in 2012, 10 years ago now, maybe it was 2011, but you couldn't get them. They were a really hot item. And then at that time, they weren't surveillance because they were an independent company. I think it was developed by the Tony – I forget his last name, but he's the guy that invented the iPod.

And then Google bought them and they've got a microphone in there so they're listening to everything, recording it and capturing it and using it to mind-control. This is an interesting segue, but I want to, still, hear your other observations because what we want to talk about is the surveillance state and censorship and all of that, that you've really accumulated a lot of information on, especially when you were working – that MintPress News that you were working on is – I just discovered them recently, but boy, they do a lot of good work on uncovering this stuff.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, even when I worked there, well a lot of my work there was focused on the intersection of intelligence in Silicon Valley. Even after I left and started to do my own thing, I maintained a lot of that focus as well. It is very important and I guess if I could say a theme of my work would be interrogating power and the structure of power and how it really works. If you're looking at Silicon Valley today, it's very clear that it's essentially fused with the national security state. If you want to talk about the national security state today, it's almost impossible to not mention Silicon Valley with that. I think a major theme of my work over the years has been how those two worlds are essentially fused at this point and the implications of that.

And one thing we've seen happen particularly intensely specifically during the COVID era or whatnot, is that Big Pharma is now getting in this mix. There's a lot of merging happening between Big Pharma and Silicon Valley. You're seeing this with a lot of joint ventures into the "health care space" of Silicon Valley companies. A lot of it's through wearables or some of these efforts to normalize technology like CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats) or nanotechnology injectables and all of this stuff. You're seeing them all come together and a lot of these joint ventures or companies in this particular space that's spanning Big Pharma in Silicon Valley, they tend to have a lot of funding from groups like In-Q-Tel, which of course is the CIA's (Central Intelligence Agency) venture capital arm. I think we're seeing, in the effort to push through this technocratic transhumanist system, you're going to see a lot more overlap between that particular power structure of national security state in Silicon Valley with Big Pharma. And that's a very, very bad, I don't know how else to put that. It's awful. I think more people should be paying a lot more attention to that specifically.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You mentioned the Big Pharma and of course, that was really closely tied to Rockefeller and 112 years ago now when he catalyzed the production of The Flexner Report, which really eliminated almost all natural medicine. It's gone out of the curriculum. But the reason I mentioned this is that it appears, largely thanks to Rockefeller again, a century later, that they're reintroducing food into the medicine component because likely, they're going to be implementing controls in the

food supply that they want to continue further, I guess, monopoly of the population. Have you been reading about that?

Whitney Webb:

Well, what concerns me is that there's a lot of efforts to monopolize the food supply. A lot of talk about Bill Gates becoming the largest landowner in corporate control over the food system. At the same time, you're having a lot of promotion of transgenic technologies or GMO stuff. And this idea, for example, I think it's also funded by Bill Gates, this idea of putting "vaccines" in your food like in tomatoes. Eating one of these GMO tomatoes is the equivalent of taking a vaccine and stuff like that. If these people take over the food supply, they'll be framing it as a return to "food is medicine," but it's not – it's a very inverted way – well, it's not exactly "food as medicine" as people would think of it when someone like you talks about that concept.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Hippocrates [crosstalk 00:08:33] put that up, yeah.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, so it's taking this age old, very old adage and then twisting it to fit their purposes. "Food as medicine" is only convenient to them when it's not something that actually heals you, it's something that keeps you in this new system they're creating.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You've been investigating this for quite some time now and I'm wondering if you've come to a conclusion as to a timeframe as to – and maybe projections as to what we might expect because we're in a lull right now as we record this before Christmas. And it looks like it's quiet, but this is clearly, from my view, the eye of the hurricane, it's just going to get worse. And I'm just wondering what your insights would be as to the timing of what to anticipate.

Whitney Webb:

I think there's a couple things to watch really closely in the next year. One is how this World Health Organization Pandemic Treaty-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Oh, yes.

Whitney Webb:

-that tries to go on top of the Constitution, not just of the U.S. but pretty much every country that would sign it.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

The whole world.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, I think that's definitely something to play close attention to because if that does get passed, I think it's likely we'll see an effort to repeat a lot of what we saw during COVID-19 from these particular groups. And if it's not signed, I think they're going to wait to try and redo all of that. What we saw over the last two years, they're waiting to get that type of new authority so they don't have to deal with so much dissent, whether it's from nation states or from particular domestic populations that have had enough and are unlikely to believe all of this a second time. I think they're really counting on having that World Health Organization Supranational Authority in order to go forward with the biosecurity agenda in terms of a repeat of what we saw in recent years.

The other thing that I think is really important is the CBDC agenda or the Central Bank Digital Currency agenda. Almost every country in the world at this point, there are exceptions, but I think it's a majority, have some sort of CBDC pilot program going on right now in some capacity. And now in the U.S., they've even announced that they're doing pilots of that with commercial banks like JP Morgan and some of the big financial giants of Wall Street. I would say that either 2023 or 2024 is likely to be the year of the CBDC. In countries where they've already launched a CBDC or have a very advanced pilot program, it's framed first as voluntary and then of course once enough people start using it, it becomes the only form of legal tender or money in use. At least that's the end game for CBDCs in any particular country.

And for people that don't know about Central Bank Digital Currencies, it's basically programmable money. The Central Bank will decide when you spend your money. So, you can't save when you want because the money, at least in some of these CBDC pilot programs, the money comes with an expiration date. You have to spend it before that date or it's worthless. You don't decide when you spend your money, the state does. That's very complicated and that's just one example. They've talked about being able to use it to program stuff like they decide that you can't eat a particular type of food because of, it's either bad for your health or bad for climate or whatever, and then your money won't be good to buy that particular product.

Or if they declare a lockdown for example, and you're not allowed to go, I don't know, five miles beyond your home or something by that, your money won't work five miles beyond your home. That's basically why Central Bank digital currencies are attractive to the powers that be, but they're going to frame it as voluntary first before it moves into involuntary. I think that first voluntary phase is going to become much more commonplace in different countries. We're going to see it pop up in a lot more countries over the next two years. And obviously, that is the phase to mass-reject CBDCs in any way you can because the more steps you take down this path, I guess I'll go back to COVID for a second to explain where I'm trying to go here. I understand and have empathy for people in COVID-19 that didn't want to lose their jobs and were worried about being thrown into a position of poverty that have families, so they took the vaccine because of the mandates.

But the more steps you take down that path of, it's convenient, the harder it will be to go on the alternative path later on. For people that were in that situation with COVID 19, that should have been a huge wake-up call to start doing something different and think about how to get off that path. Because if you went down that path and then go down the CBDC path just because, "Well, it's more convenient for now," there's going to come a point where if you make enough compromises in that way, it's going to be almost impossible, if not entirely impossible, to

redirect and go towards a different outcome. I think these are things that are very important for people to pay attention to right now in terms of developments and plan how to keep your family independent of these types of systems and resilient in the face of all the shocks to the system that we already see coming because it's in on all sorts of different fronts.

I think particularly this winter, at least in the Northern Hemisphere winter, there's a lot of squeezes on food and specifically energy right now. I think the more squeezes we see them where they're going to try and force people into CBDCs, they've already pretty much said the main way they're going to try to force mass adoption of CBDC is through programs for the poor, like food stamps and things like that. If you're in a food and energy squeeze, more people are going to be forced onto those systems. And if they're only going to pay you or a stimulus check if they do lockdowns again or an attempt to or something like that, that is going to be paid out in the CBDC in the future. People have to be cognizant of that, but it's a very insidious plan. You're trying to reduce the standard of living of people and then in order for them to maintain their standard of living, you're forcing them to adopt basically what's a control system disguised as a monetary system.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I appreciate you highlighting that and helping us understand it in greater depth. But your implications are that we likely will have some choice in the matter, and I'm skeptical of that.

Whitney Webb:

At first, but I don't think later on, yeah.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

It seems to me, it's inevitable. I just don't know that there's any way that this isn't going to be implemented no matter how many people-

Whitney Webb:

No, I think it – sorry, maybe I didn't phrase it right. What I meant was at first they're going to try and frame it as voluntary before it becomes involuntary. That stage where it's voluntary is when it's critical for people like us to act.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Right. But even if we act in large numbers, do you think that we can prevent them from implementing it? Or just delay them?

Whitney Webb:

I don't think we can prevent them from implementing it, but you can prevent yourself and your family and your community from adopting that system and use a parallel economic system. They've talked about – some people for example, have talked about using Bitcoin, but there's a possibility that the government could make Bitcoin illegal.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You see what happened with the FTX scandal just recently.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, so what can they not make illegal? Trade and barter, things like that? There's definitely types of economic activity that the federal government can try and regulate, but there's some things that are very difficult for them to make illegal. Having Craigslist or trade and barter become illegal, I see as very not feasible for the federal government to try and step in and do.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Virtually impossible, I would think.

Whitney Webb:

Right, so we have to think about these sort of things when countering the CBDC agenda.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I like that.

Whitney Webb:

And that voluntary stage is the time to make those plans so you don't get swept up when it moves from voluntary to involuntary, which they are definitely going to do at some point or attempt to do. And it will only be successful if there's mass adoption. The more people who opt out and do some sort of parallel system for their economic activity at the neighborhood or community level, the more people that don't adopt the CBDC, the less successful that agenda will be.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

That's words of wisdom, for sure. We need to resist this, but not just resisting it, we have to develop parallel systems as you suggested, and a good community where know your neighbors and your resources and you can contribute as a whole and support each other. Otherwise, it is a dystopian future we're looking at, it seems.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, well it can seem that way, but there's reasons to be optimistic. I think more people-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Give us the reasons.

Whitney Webb:

Well, I think more people than ever are getting wise to this type of agenda and are hungry for explanations about what's really going on because I think a lot of people, on a visceral level, know something is really wrong. And I think that's why, what we're going to talk about later today, there's so many efforts to censor that type of information. And I also think in addition to that, there is a major investment by the state in efforts to make us think we are a minority when we are not. There's a lot of efforts, I think even more than anything else, what social media is used for by the powers that be is to make us think certain ideas are more popular than they really

are.

When you talk about the bot situation on Twitter, which came up a lot when Elon Musk was playing around with buying the company or not, I think a lot of those bots serve to promote ideas that many people don't necessarily have or make certain figures or ideas look more popular than they are. And when you combine that with the censorship in trying to remove ideas that otherwise would be popular with real, authentic accounts, you remove that and then have a particular phony idea and have it heavily promoted by bots that looks like authentic engagement. You're manipulating people's perception of how the rest of the country feels. And I think a lot of people forget that angle of it, so there's a very big effort to make us think that we're more of a minority than we really are at this point.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Who are the powers behind this operation? Is this the intelligence agencies? Or is it-

Whitney Webb:

For censorship?

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, changing the narrative in our perspective of reality.

Whitney Webb:

Well, I think it first of all, will depend on what particular narrative they're trying to counter and/or promote. When it comes to narratives about COVID-19 or denying that early treatments exist and promoting the vaccine and all of that, I think a lot of the work that you've done and other people have done over the past couple years really shows what interests are favored there. And it might be similar or overlapping interests or maybe even competing interests, who knows, depending on what particular agenda you're talking about. But more often than not, a lot of these agendas in terms of technocracy, biosecurity and things like that, you definitely do have some sort of national security state involvement there at the end of the day. But we have to keep in mind too that a lot of these intelligence agencies, even from their inception, have really been instruments of the entrenched oligarchy in the U.S. and that's probably true for intelligence agencies in other countries as well.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

We wanted to also dive into the censorship that is allowing this propaganda and brainwashing to occur. Because if you've silenced the voices, then it seems more one-sided. Maybe we can jump into that for a moment.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, sure. There's a lot to say about the censorship agenda. You mentioned earlier that I gave a speech at Children's Health Defense while they're inaugural conference at the end of October. And what my speech was about was how really the censorship agenda is a war on dissent. And also, it's not just a war on – it was more framed about journalism, dissenting journalism, but really it's a war on public dissent in general because it's not just independent journalists who are

being censored, it's just regular people. Regular accounts aren't allowed to say certain things and what they're trying to do. It's a lot more obvious perhaps in the UK where things have been written about this particular, I think they call it the Nudge Unit, these efforts to of alter our behavior by altering our perception. A lot of what's going on right now on social media, and as I mentioned earlier, censorship is a big part of this, is to completely change how we perceive a particular situation or agenda.

With the hopes from them, the powers that be, that change in perception will cause a change in behavior. If you're censoring an idea, you're trying to take it out of the public mind and have it just not be part of the discourse anymore. And in doing so, that obviously causes a change in perception because you're only having really one idea or a very small spectrum of opinion about a particular idea being out there. And that's all people are going to start engaging with if you censor all the other takes, I guess you could say. The idea of that is to completely wipe out dissent so that everyone has a rather homogenous perception of events and people and ideas and agendas. And then from there, assume that behavior will be easily molded to the benefit of these particular powers.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Now have you been banned from Twitter?

Whitney Webb:

No, not yet.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Really?

Whitney Webb:

And it consistently surprises me that I haven't, but I think part of it is because I just don't tweet that much.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Oh, okay.

Whitney Webb:

More often than not, I'll share an article, I'll share an article that we publish at Unlimited Hangout or I publish on my site and that'll be it. I don't really do so much back and forth or commenting on issues. I'm rather inactive in that sense because honestly, for me, well I think people may be familiar with this aspect of social media. Facebook for example, was caught psychologically manipulating people by trying to make them feel more negatively by manipulating what's in their newsfeed. For me, social media does have that effect on me if I use it too much. It's not that I don't want to engage with people on social media, but it tends to either distract me from the work I'm doing and I'm less productive or it tends to make me feel like crap.

And not necessarily because of real people trying to engage with me or valid criticisms or anything like that, but they rig it so it's making you feel that way. And there's been studies done

too on how social media has gravely negatively impacted some of the youngest generations, particularly teenagers and all of this stuff. And I don't want to be a victim of that personally, because if it's going to make me feel like crap, the more time I spend on it, I want to spend less time on it. It is an important avenue just because of the work I do to get it more distributed and more out there and read. That's the only reason I really have a Twitter account at this point, but it's not something I readily support in terms of social media. I would prefer that most people move their socialization offline into the real world.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yes, indeed. Last week, Musk seems to be committed to making Twitter more democratic and he's putting out polls to control his actions, it seems. The first one was if Trump should be reintroduced and it was relatively close, 52% to 48% and with the 52% saying he should be, so he was unbanned. And after that, they did it with the people who have been previously banned, if they should be readmitted. And it was more much more overwhelming, 75% saying yes. He committed to this week as we're recording it to unban everyone unless they committed a crime in what they were doing. It seems like he's going to do this and all the people who were taken off of Twitter because of posting truths are going to be put back in.

Whitney Webb:

Well, except for Alex Jones. Some of the big accounts like Trump you mentioned, there was this offer of "Let's democratically vote on it." And then should we remove the account bans in general and offer general amnesty? But there's specific people he's not allowing back on. And if you ask me, I'm not necessarily an Alex Jones fan, but Donald Trump was president and involved. When you're president, you have blood on your hands if you're allowing drone bombings and all this other stuff to continue. Who's really more responsible for more deaths? Trump or Alex Jones? And one's not allowed back on and there was no poll for him. There was only poll for the other. I think Elon Musk is attempting to make it look a certain way, but I think there's obviously limits to how "free speech" he's going to be.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You believe at this point that it's still going to have a lot of the narrative and the biases that existed before he bought it?

Whitney Webb:

I think it's possible, but it might be a bias in the opposite direction. But I think honestly, a lot of people don't really understand why Elon Musk bought Twitter. I think the narrative has been this idea that he wants to champion free speech. I don't think that's why, personally, Elon Musk bought Twitter. If you look at why he talked about buying Twitter, the big giveaway is that he said he wants to turn Twitter into WeChat-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Right.

Whitney Webb:

-which is the Chinese app that is the closest thing in existence as far as I know in use today that

is the everything app. If you have heard that term thrown around, what everything app essentially is, is the app through which the digital ID and CBDC agenda and all of that will be foisted or imposed on the public because everything app literally is everything. It's your digital ID tied to every service, government service and private sector service, your social media, your travel, your medical history – it's the everything app.

This is basically what Elon Musk wants to turn Twitter into. How do you do that? Well, I think Elon Musk savvily knows that if you have this idea of, “This is a bastion for free speech,” that he'll be able to increase the amount of users on Twitter and the amount of time people spend on Twitter and the amount of data, this is the key point here, the amount of data being produced by people on Twitter. If you are looking at this new paradigm shift, economically, where we're going from an oil-based economy to a data-based economy, data is the new oil, and you want to make the everything app, whoever owns the everything app in this new system is going to be the king of the castle of the new economy. They're going to be the Rockefellers of the Data Age.

Whoever controls and owns the everything app is going to have that position, essentially. We're seeing different factions vie to be the everything app. Actually, FTX, which you mentioned a second ago, was vying itself to be the Everything app, Sam Bankman-Fried had said that as well. You have Elon Musk and Sam Bankman-Fried and there's probably others vying for their app to be the everything app. There's nothing good about that. But if I think what we're seeing right now is an effort to coax people back to Twitter and say whatever, be able to perhaps engage more and there might be some benefits to that. But ultimately, what Elon Musk is interested in is the data and getting more people on Twitter than before with the goal of turning it into WeChat, which is a segue to this everything app. And it's worth pointing out that the company behind WeChat, Tencent, is one of the most active advisors to Tesla and a major shareholder in Tesla. There is a relationship there.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You mentioned convenience before, but here's the same character we're holding out. It's going to be much more convenient for you. And it's the same strategy they've been using for decades and especially from a health perspective with respect to EMFs (electromagnetic fields) and the deployment of radical Wi-Fi and cell phones that didn't exist pretty much last century. The technology was there, but it wasn't widely implemented at all. Not until this century and who doesn't like the convenience of being able to connect anywhere? But it comes at a cost, biologically, and that cost is quite significant and it's the same thing to our privacy and with how they're going to control us if we accept these conveniences.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, absolutely. The other side to look here when it comes to social media is the profiling. I want people to keep in mind, too, that this whole war on domestic terror agenda, a lot of it is built off of data mining what you say on social media. This is true for Twitter, it's especially true for Facebook. But the federal government is using software like Palantir, for example, to scrape data and they've used it already actually to engage in pre-crime arrests of people for things they have said on social media. This happened during January 6th, it actually happened before it started under Trump when William Barr was attorney general. Barr launched a program called DEEP, which is an acronym for something, I don't remember exactly. It's like something early

engagement program. But basically what it's about is pre-crime and normalizing that. Around the same time, they were pitching this agency in the Trump administration, it was coming from Jared Kushner and Ivanka Trump, this idea of creating what they were calling HARPA, which they were saying is DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) for health.

And one of the architects of this is an ex guy at actual DARPA that ran one of their biotechnology offices, Jeffrey Lang, I think. And also, it was masterminded by this guy named Bob Wright who was a top executive at NBC Universal who had a close relationship with Trump because of *The Apprentice*, I believe. Anyway, this flagship program of HARPA that Ivanka and Jared Kushner were promoting was called Safe Homes, which again is an acronym for something. And basically, what that was going to do was data mine your social media posts and then feed it to an AI algorithm that decides if you show early neuropsychiatric warning signs that you may later engage in a violent crime.

That's obviously a recipe for pre-crime. This was poo-pooed during the Trump administration, but HARPA was actually made. It was made by the Biden administration, but it was called ARPA-H (Advanced Research Projects Agency for Health). And it's the same people that were pitching it via Ivanka and Jared Kushner. But they moved the H to the end with a hyphen, and now it's its own office, I believe, under HHS. The person in charge of it used to be in charge of the CIA's DARPA equivalent or she wasn't in charge, she was a top executive there called IARPA (Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity). And she's currently, I think she was a top executive right before she moved into this position at a company called Ginkgo Bioworks, which is about making synthetic life forms and biologics and is very much in this space that we talked about a little bit earlier of the merging of Big Pharma, Silicon Valley, and national security.

She's very much from that space. And really ARPA-H is the DARPA equivalent that's going to try and promote this and push it through. At the same time, by the way, the new head of the FDA is ex Google Health and is basically there to rubber stamp all of this stuff through the relatively non-existent regulatory processes now since they've been basically wiped out with COVID and that's been normalized. I think that's why Dr. Robert Califf was put in charge of the FDA. It's part of this thing that dovetails with ARPA-H. Anyway, ARPA-H was framed by the Biden administration as being about fighting cancer-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You've talked about Califf in the past. Can you remind us who he is?

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, he's the current head of the FDA. I believe he also was either deputy FDA commissioner, had some sort of role in the FDA before, I believe under Obama, but I'm not 100% sure on that. But what he was involved in most recently before his appointment to be in charge of the FDA was the top guy at Google Health. And Google Health is making all sorts of health care deals with the national security state, most specifically the Pentagon, but also with groups like GlaxoSmithKline, things like Galvani Bioelectronics, some of the stuff that Robert Califf used to be involved in. And the former head of Operation Warp Speed, Moncef Slaoui, was formerly in charge of that company as well. You have a lot of these big actors coming together in this

particular space. And ARPA-H is the way for this to get rapidly pushed upon us all at some point, this particular agenda.

As I've talked about in my previous work, this is really an effort to frame transhumanism, which is really the new eugenics, as health care, and that's what a lot of this is about. But anyway, ARPA-H was framed by the Biden administration as beating cancer. I think that was done to distance itself from the proposal that was made in the Trump era about data mining social media. But that's always been part of ARPA-H and I think it's very likely we'll see it resurrected. And it very much dovetails with this domestic terror agenda, which has been about – if you look at some of my past reporting on the origins of Facebook and the origins of Palantir, for example, it has really always been the agenda. Both of those companies are direct, provable outgrowths of a program that was pitched after 9/11 called Total Information Awareness, which they later tried to change to Terrorist Information Awareness after backlash.

But basically what it was, was a massive surveillance program of the American public that was intended to do all sorts of stuff, including predict pandemics before they happen and also predict crime before it happens and predict terrorist attacks before it happens. And basically what it requires is mass surveillance of the most minute details of your life in order to make those predictions, which again are being run by AI, which is never 100% accurate. They may tell you a particular number of accuracy, but oftentimes, that's the company's metrics itself that they use to sell their software. It's not independently tested, so it's likely much lower than what they say it is.

And even some of the AI prediction software rolled out in COVID, like one of the ones that was rolled out in Rhode Island to predict COVID outbreaks before they emerge, had by their own metrics, by the companies own metrics, something like 76% accuracy. If it's lower than 76%, it's basically flipping a coin. You're having a pre-crime and also pre-crime biosecurity sense of this too, apparatus being constructed and social media is part of this. But the accuracy of whether you're going to be accused of a crime or viewed as a biosecurity threat or something like that, is basically based on a coin flip at this point. And that's very unsettling.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I've been a strong advocate of technology for much most of my adult life and it comes with some good and bad, of course. And it seems that the social media challenges you previously described are largely related to technological advancements we couldn't do last century. I'm wondering if there's any other technological advancements, especially with AI, since that seems to be the new kid on the block. Obviously, artificial general intelligence, AGI, has not been implemented yet. It will be, it's inevitable, it's just a matter of when. And there are some programs like GPT3 that currently exist, and GPT4 is coming out real quickly, that seems to be a harbinger for AGI. It's pretty profoundly impressive with what it can do. But I'm wondering if things like GPT4 or other programs that you're aware of from a technical perspective, especially with respect to AI or AGI, that they've gotten the plans that they're going to be releasing that we know about.

Whitney Webb:

As far as your question's concerned, a lot of talk has been said about the total role of AI in our lives is going to change once it reaches a particular point that a lot of these people refer to as the singularity, which is where AI intelligence is going to allegedly outpace human intelligence so

extensively and so rapidly that it'll basically take over. If you ask me, based on everything I've seen, I don't think the singularity is actually possible.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Really?

Whitney Webb:

Or if it is possible, I think it's very far away. And I think if you are the people that are behind a lot or very involved with a lot of this agenda, people like Eric Schmidt and Henry Kissinger that just put out their "The Age of AI" book, which has a lot about AI and its role in government and basically having AI become the government.

I think all you really need to do is convince people and have mainstream media parrot and the government simultaneously parrot that the singularity is here and people will believe it, and that it's so far superior to human intelligence that we should outsource all our decision making to it. And then there's a Wizard-of-Oz-type guy, probably Eric Schmidt and Kissinger and their associates, behind the curtain who are making the decisions. If you look at what Schmidt and Kissinger and these guys say about AI and government, they say stuff, "It's just going to be so far above our intelligence that there's no way for the AI to explain its decision making." It'll just be like, "Computer says this, computer says no." And if you're basically organized crime running the government, which is, I would argue, the situation today, and you don't want to have to explain to the little people the reasons for your policy because it's a horrible reason that no one would agree with, what a great curtain, what a great facade to have for your smart dictatorship.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

It seems like it would be the wet dream of technocrats.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, they just have to say that it was the AI's decision then they have plausible deniability about everything, don't they? They're like, "Oh, the AI said it, it wasn't us, it was the AI, blah, blah, blah." And a lot of the stuff they say in that context is very unsettling. Stuff like, "AI may decide to sacrifice hundreds of thousands, if not millions of their own population to win." If the goal it's given is winning, then it's willing to make all sorts of sacrifices that humans wouldn't make. But if you look at people like Kissinger and Eric Schmidt, they'd be very happy to kill a bunch of people and then blame it on AI for the decision.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

They're already killing millions of chickens for this bird flu.

Whitney Webb:

But they've killed millions of people all over the world.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I know.

Whitney Webb:

They don't care about killing millions of people, whether it's in the US or somewhere else. They care about expanding their money and power infinitely. How do you have plausible deniability about that and get away with mass murder and eugenics programs and population control? A major theme of Kissinger's career, historically. How do you get away with that? You say, "There's this new super intelligence thing that's going to take over government because it's so superior and it's going to churn out policies and we're just going to follow them." Yeah, but what if those policies are really churned out by someone else and then they use AI as, "Oh, well it's the new God basically. It's superior to us and it can't explain how it got to this conclusion because it thinks so differently from us, so we just have to follow what it says, but we're not responsible for what it says at the same time."

I think we're going to see efforts to do that and of convince people this new singularity has come and this whole quasi religion dadaism built around artificial intelligence and all of that that exists in some of these networks. A big proponent of dadaism, or people like Ray Kurzweil, you all know [Yuval Noah] Harari who people in your audience are probably familiar with to some extent. People like Ray Kurzweil said the singularity was going to happen a long time ago and it didn't happen. And if you look at programs like I've written about, like Wellcome Leap, which is the Wellcome Trust DARPA equivalent, they're trying to map baby brains and child brains by forcing kids to use very invasive, biometric technology to try and map a growing brain because they think that will create the singularity.

That, to me, says they are grappling at straws. They have no way of producing something equivalent to the human brain. They can mimic stuff very successfully with AI and they have done so, but in terms of creating that consciousness, these are the most unconscious people in the entire planet trying to recreate consciousness in their image. Good luck. And they obviously haven't been successful at doing it because why would you need programs, ambitious, well-funded programs like this at Wellcome Leap, which are supposed to end in 2030, thereabout. I think they're going to try and fake it.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, that is a-

Whitney Webb:

You have to remember too, corporate America in Silicon Valley, super corrupt. A lot of these guys pitching these AI stuff are also corrupt. You have a corrupt system creating corrupt products and there's all this cronyism and all of that stuff. You're not going to get a superior product in the current system we have. When it comes to national security, state contracting and all that stuff, it's complete cronyism. It has been for a long time. If you're using a cronyist system, which produces an inferior product to create your superior AI and whatever, you're not going to get there.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, I really appreciate your levelheaded common sense views on this because it's so easy to get propagandized-

Whitney Webb:

Totally.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

-especially if you have a technological bias like I do. What you say makes a lot of sense and really to me, forms the basis of a message of education that needs to be spread wide and far because it seems if they are able to convince a significant amount of the population that this AI is the new chief in charge of everything, we're headed for disaster worse than we already face. Knowing they can't do it is enormously reassuring.

Whitney Webb:

I don't think they can, based on everything I've seen, and I feel like I've looked at it pretty extensively. And if you look at what happened a few months ago, I think there was this effort of this ex-Google engineer to basically say-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Oh, sure.

Whitney Webb:

-that the Google AI bot was sentient.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

They fired him.

Whitney Webb:

I did a whole video about how that was an obvious PSYOP. And if you look at the chats and all that stuff that was supposed to prove sentient, he later admitted that it was heavily edited and all of this stuff. And this is part of what I would say, a stepping stone to what I'm talking about. To convince people that AI is – well first of all, I think this particular guy was trying to convince people that AI is sentient and is feeling and it loves humanity and it just wants the best for us. There's a lot of different levels to the marketing to convince us to outsource all our decision-making to a computer program designed by the national security state and its contractors.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

And the marketing-

Whitney Webb:

It's a horrible idea. If you look at – sorry, what was that?

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I was going to say, the marketing they're doing is exponentially more effective than it was last century because they have all the benefit of the data gathering surveillance they've been doing for the last two decades.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, but think about all the data they've sucked up, even just since post-9/11 when a lot of these surveillance programs got out of control. Think of how much data they are having these AI swim in and they think, "Oh, well, we can't trigger the singularity with this level of data we've already accumulated, so now we have to get people using more devices or implantable devices or wearable devices and then we'll hit that sweet spot." I don't think it's going to happen, personally, but I think they're desperate to try and make it happen. And if they can't make it happen, they're going to PSYOP us into making think it happened. But I'll just give people a word of warning here. Yes, I think they're going to try and market it really well, and I think to some people they have marketed it already very successfully. But when you outsource major aspects of your life to someone else, it's not good.

Look at what's happened to the American people as we've outsourced our food supply to corporations. Has that made you healthier? Has that made your communities better off? No, those corporations we outsource everything to are trying to enslave us right now using the same sort of PSYOP. It's more convenient for you to outsource everything to AI. It's just a stepping stone towards the same method of control ultimately, but much more invasive and one that actually threatens the existence of the entire species and arguably the natural world as well. It's definitely here where we should be drawing the line to say the very least.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I wasn't expecting that response, but it's incredibly reassuring to have that perspective and empowering at the same time.

Whitney Webb:

Well, here's the other thing too. This whole inevitability of AI narrative is a major marketing narrative necessary to get transhumanist technologies widely adopted. Going back to Elon Musk, look at his narrative and why he has a company like Neuralink, the brain chip. "Oh, well, a super intelligent AI is inevitable and when it comes, it will take over the world. And the only way to compete is for us to become transhumanists."

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Increase our bandwidth, yeah.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, and if you realize that the super intelligent singularity stuff is most likely a PSYOP, this is using fear of that narrative to get you into the transhumanist box that you're not going to get out of. Once you get a brain chip, there's no going back.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, I personally don't think, having some superficial understanding of biology, that it's technologically possible in our lifetime. It's just too complex. It'll probably, potentially happen, but not in our lifetime. Certainly with the resolution they need to do. There's so many-

Whitney Webb:

Yeah. But if you're going to outsource your brain to a corporation, you're not you anymore. If you're going to allow a company to put something into your brain and allow things to be read and written onto your brain-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Speaking of that, there's another tangent that many people believe and spread in our community with respect to what they're doing with the jabs. And inserting chips or graphene that can serve as some type of communication device. And I'm sure you've looked at that and I'm wondering what conclusions you've reached.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, I only was familiar with the graphene oxide first study that was allegedly from the University of Almeria or something like that in Spain, and I think it was falsely promoted. I would encourage people to go read the study itself. It's translated into English, I believe, at this point. And it says very clearly there in the study itself that there's no conclusive evidence. It was an observational study of a few microscope slides. I didn't find it very convincing. And the guy said in there that it would require further study to rule out or definitively say one way or the other. But the person that commissioned that study who's a Spaniard named Ricardo Delgado, he was going around saying that this showed that the vaccine is 99% graphene oxide using that particular study, which had inconclusive findings as evidence. And I think he just wanted to assume that people wouldn't go and read the study.

People have claimed there's further studies that have been done. I have not personally seen them myself, but that particular first study was not convincing. If you ask me what the purpose of the COVID vaccine jabs was in terms of its connection to this agenda we're talking about, I don't think it was even really necessary for them to have any sort of nanotechnology or anything like that hidden in it. I think the big boon for these interests in that regard with how the COVID vaccines were handled is that it basically eliminated normal regulatory approval for vaccines and got mRNA vaccines through the door. Which once you open that box, is a door to not just normalizing mRNA injections, but normalizing CRISPR and all other sorts of this gene-editing, brave new world of medicine in general, which includes nanotechnology and other stuff as well.

But now they've redefined vaccine to include things that aren't actually vaccines and that do involve injecting foreign genetic material that can affect and change your genetic material upon injection. And there's things like DNA vaccines and all of this stuff. This is why I worry so much about this WHO treaty, because once it has supreme authority, they can just say, "Well, this time we need a DNA vaccine" or "This time in order to stop the pandemic, you need to undergo CRISPR treatment and all of this stuff." It's opening the door there and normalizing a lot of – equating this technology with vaccines of yesteryear. And I don't think the stuff here in this particular scenario needed to have any of that nanotechnology or whatever in it. I think it was a

stepping stone towards that agenda, but I don't think they went necessarily as far this time around as they could in the future.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, I would agree with you and the fact that these vaccines were introduced was essentially no testing, little to no testing, and all of the liability was essentially eliminated under the pretext of emergency use or emergency that's been extended for years now without [inaudible 00:52:16].

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, and they've normalized that behavior now.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah, 100%. And it seems to me is going to be the pretext or the template they're going to use to introduce future drugs-

Whitney Webb:

Yes.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

-because introducing a drug costs billions of dollars and there's a massive liability associated with it. "Let's go with the vaccine. We can spin up these mRNAs for hundreds of different diseases," and that's the new drug and they're going to charge a lot more for it. Just look what Pfizer did after the understanding at some point, the compliance had decreased, so they increased the charge for that by 400%, from \$30 to \$120 because they had to keep their same numbers, they had to keep the numbers up. They couldn't make less than a 100 billion a year.

Whitney Webb:

What has happened as a result of how the COVID-19 vaccine approval situation was mismanaged, is that now like with Moderna, before COVID-19, they couldn't get anything taken to market or even approved for human trials because they couldn't get past animal trials. Now the situation has changed where you can declare emergency use authorization for something, you can get products that are killing animals and can even make it to human trials straight to the market to be injected into people from the off. And a lot of these untested, transhumanist-ish technologies that are being framed as for use in health care, that's how they're all going to get pushed through, if you ask me.

And that's why it's no coincidence in my opinion, that someone like Dr. Robert Califf is the guy that's now in charge of the FDA. He is there to rubber stamp all of that stuff and push it all through. And this is very bad. But think about the U.S. right now, isn't there like a national emergency declared not just for COVID but for monkeypox? How many people are dying from monkeypox in the U.S. right now? But in theory under the declaration it has, if I'm not mistaken, they could declare emergency use authorization for something to treat monkeypox if they wanted to, even though no one's dying from it. And then it goes straight on the market.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

That's right.

Whitney Webb:

What if they finally acknowledge there's a crisis of heart conditions and myocarditis, and then there's some CRISPR technology that magically comes on the market that's going to heal your heart and return it to a pre-myocarditis state? And because it's a national emergency, the flood of myocarditis cases, then it goes straight to market. And how many people are going to try that because they want to have a healthy heart again? Or think that will give it to them? It's not hard for them to do this now because of all the precedents that they have set during COVID-19.

Once you have this whole can of worms opened, all the nanotechnology stuff, it's opened the floodgates. We're in this lull right now, as you mentioned earlier, of the eye of the storm before they start pushing this stuff massively again. Well, what was amazing is that even with the bivalent stuff, Dr. Paul Offit totally was against it.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I know.

Whitney Webb:

And he was propped up all during COVID as, "Look, he's calling the mRNA stuff the greatest accomplishment of during his lifetime and all this stuff." And then he starts criticizing the bivalent one and they're like, "We're not going to talk about him anymore."

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I know, I don't understand that one. He finally got a glimpse of consciousness, I guess. I sued Offit in the past because he lied [[crosstalk 00:55:42](#)].

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, well hopefully he'll figure out that things aren't what they seem. If he started criticizing the COVID vaccines, now that is a real turning point, I think even for establishment people that are propagandized by this stuff, but not acting necessarily in bad faith. If Paul Offit is getting off the bandwagon, I think a lot of those guys are, and if they try and push through another biosecurity thing like COVID within the decade, we'll see how many of them go along with it. Because a lot of these people, in their mind, they think these type of events are once every 100 years. Well, that's how it was framed with COVID. They're like, "Oh, the last time this happened was the Spanish flu pandemic of 1918 or whatever." If you're going to have one happen every three years, that's not normal.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

What's your projection or best guess as to when we're going to come out of this eye of the storm? You think it's going to be next year? You mentioned the CBDCs and I agree '23, '24 is likely implementation, but there's going to be some false flag that they're going to resurrect.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, but it's really hard to put dates on this stuff. I've tried to do it in the past, but you have to understand that these people also are constantly trying to evaluate the situation and that they change their metrics and tweak their plans based on what's happening in the real world and how much pushback there is and all of this stuff. It's very complicated to predict, but I think it's very clear to see that sometime before 2025 at least, there's going to be another major shoe to drop, which is it? We have different agendas that they need some sort of false flag or some sort of event to force through, whether it's the war on domestic terror, the biosecurity agenda. There's numerous other things.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Food shortage.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, the food. But this type of stuff, food shortage, energy shortage is being used to bring in a lot of these major changes to the food supply and the economic supply. They're all interrelated. The question is, which agenda do they think they can push farther on for now? I think they're hopping around. Food and energy, they'll go back to biosecurity at some point. They'll do this war on dissent, domestic terror stuff. I think they're going to hop around and I don't really know which one they're going to hit next. But I think a lot of it too, what they decide to do and how far they decide to go depends on pushback. They are really worried about their house of cards falling down and it'll happen at some point. The question is, how much damage will they do in the interim? "How much damage will we let them do in the interim?" is a better question, because a lot of the stuff I don't think they can get away with. Part of it's because the technology isn't where they say it is even.

There's certain thresholds that they claim, well, like the AI singularity stuff, they want to be met but they can't necessarily need it. And it's a very small amount of people trying to control billions of people and also trying to depopulate a lot of people and eventually it's an unsustainable situation. I don't know. I think a lot of what happens in the future depends on continued efforts to push back, how successfully people build and adopt parallel systems. The story here isn't written yet, so I think the more desperate the elites and the powers that be become, the more erratic these types of events will be in terms of false flags and things of that nature. I think we'll see desperate acts coming from them, the more people reject their designs and their agenda. I think at this particular stage of the game, it's very hard to predict.

But I think what is fair to say is that if you look at the gradual implementation of these types of agendas over the past century or so, developments that used to take decades are now taking years or even months at this stage of the game. And they've made it pretty clear with agenda 2030 and the repetition of the year 2030, that they plan to have a lot of this stuff pretty much locked down by that time. I think yeah, we're going to be living a very turbulent decade, but it's the decade where we draw the line in the sand and we stop having organized crime run the show.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

And you're somewhat insulated with this because you live in Chile.

Whitney Webb:

I wouldn't say I'm insulated. It's everywhere. This is a global agenda. The biosecurity stuff was arguably worst here during COVID-19 in terms of lockdowns than it was in the vast majority of the United States. The Green New Deal, sustainable development goals, that's something that's going on in really every country of the world. There is a global power grab underway. I'd like to think I'm insulated, but I don't think that's necessarily true.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

From your perspective, what is the way to become relatively insulated? It would seem the earlier recommendations of developing and/or plugging into a community where you can improve your resilience.

Whitney Webb:

Definitely, yeah. It's all about community-building, I think, at this point.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

It seems that's core. That is absolutely the core.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, whether you're in the U.S. or Chile or wherever you are, if you don't have people around you to rely on when things get tough or when things get bad-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

And they will.

Whitney Webb:

-you're going to be looking to the government and the CBDCs and all of this stuff. And that's the plan. The plan is for them to basically collapse the existing systems and have people clamoring to government for solutions and then the solutions they're going to offer or digital IDs, CBDCs, all this technocratic stuff. And you'll be forced to adopt it because you won't be given an alternative.

The only way to have an alternative to that system is to build it yourself and you can't build it just you and your family really and expect to be fully resilient. Maybe some people in particular situations don't really have any choice. They live in a really rural area and no one's around. What kind of system you build and what kind of community you build is very particular to where you are and what your means are to do that. But it's very important right now to find like-minded people who, in a time of crisis, will be there to support you, that you'll be there to support each other.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

And would you agree with the comment that it's highly unlikely that you're going to build this community in a very densely populated urban area because those are-

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, I would agree.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

-the worst centers that are going to be hit. If you live in the middle of Manhattan or another larger area-

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, get out.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

That's got to be number one priority is, move.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, I definitely would look at going to a more rural area than a metropolis. Remember that a lot of basically the concentration camps of the future are going to be smart cities. Smart cities are going to be built in places that are already very highly urbanized. Pretty much every metropolis in the world has some sort of plan to convert it to a smart city that's already being enacted or on the books to be enacted. Yeah, if you don't want to be part of that, you should move because it will be built around you if you are in one of those cities, sure.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah, and you don't have land to actually grow food or raise chickens, which I think is probably one of the best strategies you can do, especially if you develop bartering because fresh chicken eggs, even now I think the prices have doubled since this craziness has started.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, there's a lot you can do. Even if you don't have the money to buy land, you can find a rural community of like-minded people and you can rent a house with a yard in parts of the country. And I'm sure if you find the right land landlord or landlady, they'll let you garden and grow there. But it takes research and personal responsibility on our part to try and make those steps. In urban areas, it's going to be really hard when push comes to shove. I know there are people that have done a lot in the urban gardening space and even having chicken coops in an urban setting and all of that stuff. And that's commendable, but I think when you consider all the other factors at play here, it's best to not be in the heart of a major urban area when all this stuff goes down, to say the very least.

And also, what I would encourage people to do, too, even if you feel like you don't have capital at all to even move anywhere, the least you can do is spend some of your time on the internet researching how to grow your own food, how to keep chickens, all of that stuff. It's like on YouTube, it's free. Start building your knowledge base and then you have skills that in the time of a crisis and you have to get out of the city because there's no other choice or whatever, you have skills that you can market to people in a smaller community if you had to leave. And that is a very valuable skillset. You can develop it a knowledge base by just watching YouTube videos.

You don't have to necessarily spend money to take those steps to becoming independent. You can start that way. There's stuff literally anyone can do no matter what your economic situation is.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

That's some very sage advice. What would be the top three to five? And certainly, reviewing the ones that we already did, if you could give people advice now. If we look back on this, we were warning people about not taking the job well before it came out and we observed all the complications that resulted from that. This dystopia is inevitable. It's coming. We can insulate ourselves from it, but if you don't prepare yourself, it's going to be really a problem. What would you suggest is the top three, four or five things to do? Communities, would that be number one? I would think.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, find a community of like-minded people wherever you are. If you're like-minded communities in the city, try and pool your resources together and buy land somewhere else and get out or something like that. But definitely find like-minded people and create a community. Doesn't matter where you are, what your means are, find your people. And then from there, you have to start looking at the parallel systems. I definitely think it's good when you're looking at this food and energy crunch stuff. If there's even small things you can buy a small food store for even of, I don't know, rice and beans for three months or something like that. Things that are on a smaller scale. You don't have to go into a whole homestead necessarily right away. You can start small and build off on that. But everything, especially if you're looking at this economic crisis and the potential of them basically collapsing the economy to introduce CBDCs, your money isn't necessarily safe in the bank anymore.

But the more money you invest in things you actually can use in the time of crisis or that you can use to support your family, crisis or not, like food or something that you can use to power your home off the grid. Even on a small scale or power a few devices or something like that, all of those are worthy investments, particularly like water filters. All of those are good ideas, you have to see what works for you. But community is the core of this, because from that comes the parallel systems. If you're looking to have some sort of economic activity at all that involves trading services or goods with another group or another person. You need a community to do that. You have to start there and expand out and then again, build your knowledge base. If you have never looked in or you've never kept a garden or anything like that, you can start learning the basics from the internet while it's still relatively free and available.

They're not really censoring agriculturalist YouTube yet.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah, yeah.

Whitney Webb:

Another good resource, too, is, there's lots of services that you can buy and some of them are free, I even think, where you can download YouTube videos and store them offline. The effort to

censor the internet is going to pick up and it's going to get much worse than it is right now. If there's any information, whether it's about resilience, homesteading or about power structures, the news, what's gone on over the past few years, history in general, the more stuff you save offline, the better chance that you will have of accessing it when the internet is cut off. Because basically, the agenda is on the books to cut your internet access unless you buy into the digital ID because it's not just like – there isn't just this effort to tie your digital ID to your social media accounts are required digital ID to access social media. It's going to be for internet access in general.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well even now, it's severely censored. Obviously, we know that-

Whitney Webb:

Sure.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

-just not only from removing people that are against the narrative off the site but off the search engine. This is the point I wanted to bring up is that they really can't – well I guess they could technically, through some nefarious means, remove the sites that have this information. But there's billions of sites out there that have this information and there's no way, unless you know that URL or the where to find it, that will it be available to 99.9999% of people. The way people find information is through the search engines and Google controls 95% of the search engines in the world, the entire world, 95% of the searches come through Google.

And I'm sure we've all typed in keywords in there. We see the number of results. There might be millions, there might be billions of results. But have you ever recently tried to dig down and go to search page number 100? I don't know if you've done that recently, but when I tried to do it, it stops at five or six. That's it.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

There's no more access to any other information. No matter how deep you want to dive and research it, you just can't get it. It's unavailable.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah. My point here is then, since that is only getting worse with time and a lot of these pages, there's been major attacks on your website, which is for years, not just for me but for many other people have been a resource for natural cures and stuff like that. That stuff will start to disappear more and more over the coming years. If you want that information, either buy it in the form of books or download videos, articles, whatever, offline. Store it offline on external hard drives or however you need to, but make sure you have the information you want to be able to access in the event there's no internet anymore. You should store that offline.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

What's the strategy you're using personally?

Whitney Webb:

Well, I personally download it all and put it on external hard drives, personally.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

External hard drives, okay.

Whitney Webb:

But I'm sure there's other ways of doing it. I want it store it in the cloud though because again, that's tied to internet access and almost all cloud companies are CIA front companies or they're contractors to these guys.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I would suggest one caution with the external hard drive approach, it's good, but I would put them in a little faraday day bag in case-

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, I have those as well. They're not that expensive.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah, electromagnetic pulses can destroy your hard drives for sure. And then all that hard work is down the drain.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, that was really one of the major things I wanted to talk about. It was surprisingly brief and not as important as the other topics you brought up. But it is just the James Corbitt, who I'm sure you're familiar with, I think you've interviewed with him.

Whitney Webb:

Oh, of course.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah, he's done some really good work on this and referring to the internet or correlating it to the library Alexandria and how they burned it [crosstalk 01:11:20] doing it now. And it is so obvious, literally you just can't access it. Now is the time to find it, especially on these preparedness topics that are going to be really crucial to survive and thrive if you're prepared.

Whitney Webb:

Yeah. What a lot of these people are counting on is that the knowledge that previous generations had, we have forgotten partially because we have outsourced so much of our daily needs to the corporations.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

That's true.

Whitney Webb:

Yes, it is. So many people don't know how to grow food anymore. And anything that you think of as basic needs, shelter, clothes, food, it's all outsourced. Very few people in the U.S. know anymore how to build their own buildings, their own structures, how to produce and grow their own food, how to sew and create their own clothing or knit or things like that. But how to get the knowledge of those skills still exists online. It's a very good idea to back that up and have it. I don't know, for example, winter is coming and you want to learn how to knit or something and you invested time in growing food and all this other stuff, but you didn't think about clothes or something and then you're like, "Oh I wish I could go to YouTube and look at knitting tutorials or something." It's a good idea to try and have that knowledge base somewhere. Or at least when you set up a community, have someone in the community or everyone in the community picks a different topic and backs up stuff on that or something like that.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Or had the skill [[crosstalk 01:12:51](#)].

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, or something like that. But it's always good to have some sort of backing up of knowledge in case something happens to that person or something like that.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Sure.

Whitney Webb:

The knowledge doesn't die because they want that knowledge to die because then we're dependent on them and we can't take care of ourselves without them being involved in the equation and we have to get away from that. And a lot of that requires us relearning the traditional skills that have kept humans around for thousands of years.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Have you identified any books that are particularly good at preparedness that you would recommend?

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, but I'd have to go look because a lot of the ones I have, I've saved offline, but there's a lot of – oh man.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Or websites because there's a lot of people... I haven't done this work specifically but I know that other people have, and there's some good resources out there. I personally don't know what they are, but I'm sure some people do.

Whitney Webb:

There is a good book that of gives you an introduction to all sorts of kind of skills. It's called "Back To Basics." It's an encyclopedia of traditional skills. It is an introduction to the basic stuff because when you're talking about things like homesteading, it's not just growing and producing your own food or tending chickens or whatever. You also have to think about things like preserving the harvest for winter, canning, and all of this stuff. It has primers on that, primers on making goods and how to choose a site for a homestead. And a lot of traditional knowledge is stored in one book about all sorts of different topics. But it really depends on what you want to specialize in. There's tons of books on this stuff and you can still get them for relatively cheap.

If you buy them used, they're even cheaper and you can get a ton of them. And really, everything you have in a physical sense is even better than having it on external hard drives. I'm more limited because I live in South America, so if I want English-language books-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

You have to go online.

Whitney Webb:

-it gets pretty expensive pretty quickly unless I want to have them in electronic format. But if you're in the U.S., it's very easy to get used books on this topic and very cheap. And you can amass a library on this stuff for not much money and it's definitely worth the investment.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, that is pretty solid recommendation [inaudible 01:15:10] strategy. I'm glad you include that as one of your top recommendations to be prepared. Wow, this is great. Do you have any other insights you'd like to share today?

Whitney Webb:

Well, you wanted to talk about censorship a lot and I don't know if you feel like we touched enough on that.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Sure, yeah.

Whitney Webb:

We only really spoke about it in general terms, but people need to realize that it's going to get a lot worse. And I didn't drive this home earlier, but I did bring it up. You are being profiled based on what you post and share on social media. If you wish to continue using your social media profiles in the current paradigm, it would make sense to not engage with much as many posts just

because they're using that against you to profile you. And I would say if you're going to try and organize stuff, particularly with communities, try and do as much of it offline as you can and be very careful about what platforms you do that on.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

With respect to platforms, I'm wondering what your views are in Signal? I've read the "Surveillance Valley" book and I believe the CIA has a backdoor to it, but it seems to be the best encrypted one or do you just not even recommend something like Signal?

Whitney Webb:

Well I would say, at this point, I don't really recommend any specific platform because I think at this point, they can backdoor anything they really want and I don't really have any illusions about 100% privacy with anything at this point. Which is why I say I think offline is the best thing you can do.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Okay.

Whitney Webb:

When it comes to different stuff like that, I think Signal is better than WhatsApp, that's for sure. WhatsApp's owned by Facebook, so at least Signal is trying to say they're not tied to anybody. But as you pointed out, Yasha Levine in "Surveillance Valley" and some other people have pointed out that they probably are.

Again, it's really hard to know because they've tried to hide a lot of – when they have a particular company that they want people to widely adopt and they know that it's bad optics for them in terms of adoption to have a direct national security state connection, they'll try and hide it. Sometimes it's come out, like Wickr for example, was being promoted by some people before it was bought by Amazon and it turns out it was largely funded by the CIA through In-Q-Tel and stuff, even though it was an encrypted messaging platform. It's definitely important to do your homework, but if things get really bad and the war on domestic terror gets underway and there's all this profiling and whatever going on, I would just stay as far away from the online world as you can, but that's just me, personally.

I think there's some platforms that people prefer and obviously encrypted is better than unencrypted, but I don't think it's safe to really assume anymore that they can't get into your stuff. And as someone that does the kind of work I do, that's why I don't work with whistleblowers or people like that. I can't guarantee their safety because I don't have any of those illusions of privacy and I don't want to put someone at risk because I can't guarantee that stuff.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Have you been tempted to use whistleblowers in the past?

Whitney Webb:

I try and do stuff that's just out in the open-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Okay.

Whitney Webb:

-open-source intelligence because then it's publicly verifiable and people can go and see it for themselves. I avoid it. I also worry too that in the past, some whistleblowers that have been put out in front of us may have been not necessarily whistle blowers. They may have been put out there to see a particular narrative about something.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, do you think that might be true for [Edward] Snowden? Yasha Levine implies that in his book.

Whitney Webb:

I regard the whole Snowden creation of The Intercept by Pierre Omidyar and then the privatization of more than 90% of the Snowden leaks with great skepticism. I'll just leave it at that because very few of the documents that he was allegedly trying to get out in the open were actually revealed publicly. And if you look at the funding of The Intercept and Pierre Omidyar , who's the owner of PayPal, which censors people for misinformation-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Not only censors, illegally-

Whitney Webb:

Takes their money.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah, takes their money.

Whitney Webb:

Even from the time The Intercept was founded, lots of conflicts of interest with the national security state and then The Intercept basically privatizes the Snowden leaks. And more than 90% of it is never made public. What was in that 90% that was never made public? Why was it never made public? I don't know. It's complicated stuff.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

It's a very compelling person though. They picked a really good candidate for that. He actually strongly promotes Signal too.

Whitney Webb:

I think Yasha Levine's criticisms of that whole situation should definitely be considered. And I think the other guy in that space was Mark Ames did a lot of really good early reporting on The Intercept when it was founded and what he, I think, rightly characterizes as the privatization of the Snowden leaks. It's an unfortunate situation to say the very least.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

I'm a big fan of Glen Greenwald and he's one of the other top investigative journalists like yourself. And it seems like he is not part of that cabal, seems to be. I appreciate his insights and his takes on things. I haven't seen any-

Whitney Webb:

I did a lot of reporting on The Intercept when Glen Greenwald was still there and the handling of the Snowden leaks and things like that. And my takeaways then were not positive for The Intercept. And Glen Greenwald was not happy about my analysis, I'll just say that. For people that are interested in seeing our previous Twitter altercations, I think he's deleted some of his tweets, but they're still around, a lot of them. And a lot of my criticisms at that point when he left The Intercept, he later confirmed as true. But previously said I belonged in a mental hospital.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

He said that about you?

Whitney Webb:

I'd rather not revive the disagreements that Greenwald and I have had before, but he was very sensitive about a lot of these claims about Pierre Omidyar in the Intercept when he worked there. Now he's not, but I-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

He woke up.

Whitney Webb:

I don't really have rose-tinted glasses with Glen Greenwald anymore.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Well, thank you for those insights. All right, you want to continue on any other elements of you'd like to comment on with the censorship?

Whitney Webb:

Not unless there's anything that I brought up or that I didn't bring up that you'd like me to go into. I think we've given people a lot to chew on today.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Yeah, we have and I really deeply appreciate it. As an update, are you working on part three of One Nation Under Blackmail or what is your next project?

Whitney Webb:

Yeah, the whole FTX situation, some people have joked, “Oh look, here's volume three of “One Nation Under Blackmail” for you. I am doing an investigation on the FTX situation right now.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Really?

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

There's an aspect of it that'll be coming out in the next week or two that I think will be quite revelatory about certain aspects of what was really going on there. But I need to take time away before writing another 500-page book. I wrote a thousand pages, which were new two 500-page, roughly, books. That was a lot of work. And I was also producing a lot of other investigations and reports at the same time. I also have two kids, so I have to make time for them too. It's about to be the holidays. I have two kid birthdays in December too. It's going to be pretty crazy. I probably won't be doing another book straight away.

But there's a lot more that came up, particularly as I was writing volume two that definitely need further development. Whether that takes the form of an investigative series or its own book, I don't really know, we'll see. But I am doing stuff on FTX. I have an investigative series that I'm with Ian Davis-

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Oh, sure.

Whitney Webb:

-who is very good at what he does on the sustainable development goals. And we're doing each goal point by point, showing the agenda that's under the hood there because of course they veil it in very flowery terms and, “How could you be opposed to this?” But if you look at the organizations, really they're all public private partnerships between the UN and other groups that are actually going to be designing the policies and implementing the stuff under the guise of the nice-sounding sustainable development goal. There's a lot of very nefarious stuff taking place that needs to be looked at. That's what we'll probably be doing over the next couple of months or so.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

Folks, if you want to get a taste of that, then you need to go to sign up for her newsletter and go to her website on Unlimited Hangout. I personally do an RSS feed because that's the way I like to get my information delivered to me. And I couldn't recommend her site more strongly. She is just the most amazing investigator. She's always coming up with surprises and she shared such great information with us today. You keep up the great work and take a well-deserved rest. You certainly deserve it.

Whitney Webb:

Thanks so much.

Dr. Joseph Mercola:

All right, keep up the good work, Whitney.